

1 **Sanjay S. Schmidt (SBN 247475)**
2 **LAW OFFICE OF SANJAY S. SCHMIDT**
3 1388 Sutter Street, Suite 810
4 San Francisco, CA 94109
5 Tel. (415) 563-8583
6 Fax. (415) 223-9717
7 e-mail: ss@sanjayschmidtlaw.com

8 **TAI C. BOGAN (SBN 241784)**
9 **THE BOGAN LAW FIRM, APC**
10 615 13th Street, Suite A
11 Modesto, CA 95354
12 Tel. (209) 566-9591 x 101
13 Fax. (209) 566-9668
14 e-mail: tai.bogan@theboganlawfirm.com

15 *Attorneys for Plaintiff,*
16 **BRANDON GRAY**

17 PATRICK D. MORIARTY, State Bar No. 213185
18 pmoriarty@aghrlaw.com
19 JOHN B. ROBINSON, State Bar No. 297065
20 jrobinson@aghrlaw.com
21 ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP
22 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200
23 San Francisco, CA 94104
24 Tel.: (415) 697-2000
25 Fax: (415) 813-2045

26 Attorneys for Defendant
27 **CITY OF MODESTO**

28 Bruce D. Praet (SBN 119430)
29 FERGUSON, PRAET & SHERMAN
30 A Professional Corporation
31 1631 East 18th Street,
32 Santa Ana, CA 92705
33 Tel. (714) 953-5300
34 Fax. (714) 953-1143
35 e-mail: Bpraet@aol.com

36 Attorneys for Defendant
37 **CITY OF OAKDALE**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FRESNO COURTHOUSE

Plaintiff, BRANDON GRAY (“Plaintiff”), by and through his counsel of record, Defendant CITY OF MODESTO (“CITY”), by and through its counsel of record, and Defendant CITY OF OAKDALE, by and through its counsel of record, hereby respectfully stipulate to continuing the initial mandatory scheduling conference from January 27, 2021 at 9:30 a.m. until February 25, 2022, if that is acceptable and convenient for the Court. Good cause exists for continuing the initial mandatory scheduling conference because Plaintiff’s lead counsel, Mr. Schmidt, has a motion hearing in a state court matter that was set sua sponte by the clerk of the Superior Court (without input from counsel) at 9:00 a.m., on January 27, 2022, and Mr. Bogan also has a state court matter that conflicts with the initial scheduling conference. If it is convenient for the Court, the parties respectfully request that this initial scheduling conference be continued to February 25, 2022.

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.
2
3

4 Dated: January 20, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

5
6 ALLEN, GLAESSNER,
7 HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP
8

9
10 By: /s/ Patrick D. Moriarty*
11 PATRICK D. MORIARTY
12 JOHN B. ROBINSON
13 Attorneys for Defendant
14 CITY OF MODESTO
15

16 Dated: January 20, 2022

17 THE LAW OFFICE OF SANJAY S. SCHMIDT
18

19 By: /s/ Sanjay S. Schmidt
20 SANJAY S. SCHMIDT
21 Attorneys for Plaintiff
22 BRANDON GRAY
23

24 Dated: January 20, 2022

25 FERGUSON, PRAET & SHERMAN
26

27 By: /s/ Bruce D. Praet*
28 BRUCE D. PRAET
Attorneys for CITY OF OAKDALE
Defendants
29

30 Dated: January 20, 2022

31 PORTER SCOTT
32 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
33

34 By: /s/ John R. Whitefleet*
35 Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF
36 STANISLAUS
37

38 *Mr. Moriarty, Mr. Praet, and Mr. Whitefleet gave their consent to file this document via
39 CM-ECF.
40

41 //

ORDER

The Court, having reviewed the parties' stipulation and request, and good cause appearing, finds and orders as follows:

1. The Scheduling Conference is HEREBY CONTINUED to **February 24, 2022, at 9:30 AM in Courtroom 8 (BAM) before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe**. The parties shall file a Joint Scheduling Report one week prior to the conference. The parties shall appear at the conference remotely with each party connecting either via Zoom video conference or Zoom telephone number. The parties will be provided with the Zoom ID and password by the Courtroom Deputy prior to the conference. The Zoom ID and password are confidential and are not to be shared. Appropriate court attire required.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 20, 2022

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE